

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/708,310	EHRENLEITNER, FRANZ
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Kishor Mayekar	1795

**All Participants:**

(1) Kishor Mayekar.

**Status of Application:** Amendment after non-final action

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Joseph Kinsella.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 February 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 4 and 8

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*to clearly recite in claim 1 a structure for the six degrees of freedom of motions and to correct minor informalities in claims 1, 3, 4 and 8 by an examiner's amendment*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)